



27 JUN 2002
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

75
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Patrick J. O'Shea
SAMUELS, GAUTHIER & STEVENS, LLP
225 Franklin Street, Suite 3300
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

In re Application of	:	DECISION ON
Stefan EDER	:	
Application No.: 10/089,425	:	
PCT No.: PCT/DE00/01737	:	PETITION
Int. Filing Date: 29 May 2000	:	
Priority Date: 02 June 1999	:	
Attorney's Docket No.: Mic.6688	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.137 (b)
For: CIRCUIT COMPRISING AN INTEGRATED	:	
SWITCHING CIRCUIT AND A VOLTAGE	:	
REGULATING CIRCUIT	:	

This decision is in response to applicants' "PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)" filed 28 March 2002.

BACKGROUND

On 29 May 2000, the applicant filed International Application No. PCT/DE00/01737, which claimed a priority date of 02 June 1999, and which designated the United States.

A Demand was filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority electing the United States prior to the expiration of the 19 months from the priority date, and as a result the deadline for submission of a copy of the International Application (unless previously communicated by the International Bureau or filed in the United States Receiving Office) and payment of the basic national fee was extended to expire 30 months from the priority date, i.e., 02 December 2001.

DISCUSSION

Under 37 CFR 1.137(b), a petition requesting that the application be revived on the grounds of unintentional delay must be filed promptly after applicant becomes aware of the abandonment and must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed, (2) the petition fee required by law, (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from

the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional and (4) a terminal disclaimer (for applications filed before 8 June 1995).

As to item (1), the petition is accompanied by the required reply.

As to item (2), the petition is accompanied by the required petition fee.

As to item (3), applicants' statement that "the abandonment was unintentional" has been construed to mean that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional." If this interpretation is incorrect, applicants **MUST** immediately notify the PCT Legal Office of such fact.

As to item (4), a terminal disclaimer is not required in the present case.

CONCLUSION

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

The application is being forwarded to the National Stage Processing Branch of the Office of PCT Operations to continue national stage processing of the present application.



Boris Milef
PCT Legal Examiner
PCT Legal Office



Tracy Legree
PCT Special Programs Detailee
PCT Special Programs Office

Telephone: (703) 308-3659
Facsimile: (703) 308-6459